
## U.S. Department of Homeland Security

Citizenship and Immigration Services



FILE:

Office: Los Angeles

Date:

JAN 13 2004

IN RE: Obligor:

IMMIGRATION BOND:

Bonded Alien:

Bond Conditioned for Voluntary Departure under § 240B of the

Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1229c

IN BEHALF OF OBLIGOR:

Self-represented



## **INSTRUCTIONS:**

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS) where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. Id.

Any motion must be filed with the office that originally decided your case along with a fee of \$110 as required under 8 C.F.R. § 103.7.

> Robert P. Wiemann, Director Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The voluntary departure bond in this matter was declared breached by the District Director, Los Angeles, California, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The record indicates that on December 4, 2001, the obligor posted a \$500.00 bond conditioned for his voluntary departure. An order of the immigration judge (IJ) dated December 3, 2001, was issued granting the alien voluntary departure in lieu of removal on or before February 1, 2001. The bonded alien appealed the IJ's decision to the Board of Immigration Appeals (BIA). On January 29, 2003 the BIA affirmed, without opinion, the IJ's decision, and granted the alien voluntary departure within 30 days from the date of the order. On April 21, 2003, the district director concluded the bond had been breached. The alien has failed to depart.

On appeal, the obligor asserts that he has an appeal pending before the  $9^{\rm th}$  Circuit Court of Appeals. The obligor further asserts that a brief and evidence would be forthcoming within 30 days. To date, however, no brief and/or evidence has been presented by the obligor.

Section 242(b)(3)(B) of the Immigration and Nationality Act provides that the removal of the alien is not stayed pending judicial review of an order of deportation unless the court orders otherwise. There is no evidence of record to indicate that the  $9^{th}$  Circuit Court of Appeals stayed the bonded alien's removal.

8 C.F.R. § 1240.26(c)(3) provides that in order for the voluntary departure bond to be cancelled, the alien must provide proof of departure to the district director.

No satisfactory evidence has been introduced into the record to establish the alien made a timely departure. The service of a notice to surrender or the presence of a certified mail receipt is not required in voluntary departure bond proceedings.

Voluntary departure bonds are exacted to ensure that aliens will depart when required in lieu of removal. Such bonds are necessary in order for ICE to function in an orderly manner. After a careful review of the record, it is concluded that the alien failed to depart by the stipulated time, the conditions of the bond have been substantially violated, and the collateral has been forfeited. The decision of the district director will not be disturbed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.